

Open System Change Requests -- Detail

Report Record # 1

CR #	Title	Status	Level of Effort	Interface	Products Impacted
		Date		Release #	
SCR081803-01	Seeking Ability To Migrate UNE-P Customer As End-State	Presented	1900 - 2400	IMA Common	All UNE-P, Resale
		8/22/03			

Originator: Osborne-Miller, Donna **Originator Company Name:** AT&T
Director: Winston, Connie
Owner: Winston, Connie
CR PM: Esquibel-Reed, Peggy

Description Of Change

AT&T does not want to recap any existing hunting USOCs the customer has on their account. Whatever the customer wants as their "end state" hunting arrangement (whether the USOC is existing or not), we would populate using HA=N. This includes the hunting USOCs and the lines hunting is to be applied to. Also, if Hunting exists on the Qwest retail CSR, but is NOT specified on the LSR, it should be deleted when the customer is migrated over.
 Qwest has also suggested an additional value of HA = BLANK in which the Hunting form would not need to be sent. The "BLANK" would indicate that the customer is being migrated and no hunting is being requested.

Expected Deliverable:
 To not have to recap existing hunting USOCs and to utilize HA=N to be inclusive of the end state of the customers account.

Status History:

Date	Action	Description
8/18/03	CR Submitted	
8/18/03	CR Acknowledged	
8/19/03	Info Requested from CLEC	Sent email to Donna O-M asking for Clarification Meeting Availability
8/19/03	Info Received From CLEC	Donna O-M advised of Clarification Meeting Availability: Aug. 22 or week of Sept. 2nd.
8/19/03	Clarification Meeting Scheduled	Clarification Meeting Scheduled for August 22, 2003
8/21/03	Discussed at Monthly CMP Meeting	Discussed at the August Systems CMP Monthly Meeting; please see August Systems CMP Distribution Package, Attachment F
8/22/03	Clarification Meeting Held	See Project Meetings Section for Meeting Minutes
8/26/03	Record Update	Received Revised CR Description from Donna Osborne-Miller/AT&T
9/2/03	Record Update	Received Revised CR Description from Regina Mosley/AT&T
9/11/03	Communicator Issued	CMPR.09.11.03.F.01563.VoteRqrd_IMA_CR

Action Items (AI) Associated with this CR:

AI Number	1	Date Initiated	9/19/03	Date Due	9/23/03	Date Complete
Responsible Party	Winston, Connie	AI Status	Open			
Short Title	Evaluate if Can Include Resale Within the Current Loe of 1900 - 2400 hours (SCR081803-01 Seeking the Ability to Migrate UNE-P Customer as End State)					
Description						
Resolution						

Project Meetings

September 8, 2003 Email Received From Donna Osborne-Miller/AT&T:
 May I please change my mind about the vote. I would like to ask that a vote to allow this cr as an exception be voted on the this month's systems forum. I had stated in my exception request that we did not want it to take place, but we do. If I need to send this "change of heart" would you like me to send another exception to cmpcr.....?
 Thank you,
 Donna

Open System Change Requests -- Detail

September 4, 2003 Email Received From Donna Osborne-Miile/AT&T:

AT&T would like to request an exception as a late adder to IMA 15.0

SCR 081803-01 Recapping of hunting USOCs

After much consternation and discussion on the hunting process and the eventual agreed-upon matrix creation and distribution, we seek changes and additions to the hunting process as it relates to end state and recapping of USOCs.

We seek consideration as a late adder exception to the latest IMA prioritization. In addition AT&T wishes to have the vote NOT taken at the next systems CMP meeting. We believe the community may need time to resonate on the change and to re-examine the results of IMA 15.0 prioritization.

If there are any question or concerns on this request please contact: Donna Osborne-Miller at 303.465.4134

Thank you,

Donna

September 2, 2003 Email Received From Regina Mosley/AT&T:

Donna/Peggy, Hope it's not too late to make the revisions to the CR.....see below...Regina

Please correct wording to read:

Description of Change:

AT&T does not want to recap any existing hunting USOCs the customer has on their account. Whatever the customer wants as their "end state" hunting arrangement (whether the USOC is existing or not), we would populate using HA=N. This includes the hunting USOCs and the lines hunting is to be applied to. Also, if Hunting exists on the Qwest retail CSR, but is NOT specified on the LSR, it should be deleted when the customer is migrated over.

Qwest has also suggested an additional value of HA = BLANK in which the Hunting form would not need to be sent. The "BLANK" would indicate that the customer is being migrated and no hunting is being requested.

Expected Deliverable:

To not have to recap existing hunting USOCs and to utilize HA=N to be inclusive of the end state of the customers account.

August 29, 2003 Email sent to Donna Osborne-Miller/AT&T:

Subject: SCR081803-01 Late Adder ??

Hi Donna -- In regard to your submitted CMP CR, SCR081803-01. I have attached the revised CR for your review. Please advise if corrections need to be made. I revised the CR description, Interface, and Impacted Products.

A question for you - Have you submitted your exception requests? One asking to invoke the late adder process and a separate request asking that the vote be held outside of the monthly CMP meeting? If you have, can you please resend them to CMPCR@qwest.com with a copy to me? I apologize but I am not finding them. I will start the late adder process as soon as we have the exception CRs.

Thanks,

Peggy Esquibel-Reed

Qwest CRPM -- Systems

Peggy.Esquibel-Reed@qwest.com

August 26, 2003 Email Received From Donna Osborne-Miller/AT&T:

Hello Peggy, As agreed on the clarification call, I owe you a correction in wording in the description of change to SCR081803-01:

Please correct wording to read:

Description of Change:

AT&T does not want to recap any existing hunting USOCs the customer has on their account. Whatever the customer wants as their hunting arrangement, we would populate. This includes the hunting USOCs and the lines hunting is to be applied to.

IMA release 13.0 introduces new hunt values that are more specific that what is in IMA 12.0. AT&T would like to utilize HA= N to include "end state" as well.

Expected Deliverable:

To not have to recap existing hunting USOCs and to utilize HA=N to be inclusive of the end state of the customers account.

Clarification Meeting - August 22, 2003:

ATTENDEES:

Donna Osborne-Miller/AT&T, Regina Mosley/AT&T, Peggy Esquibel Reed/Qwest, Curt Anderson/Qwest, Mallory Paxton/Qwest, Shon Higer/Qwest

REVIEW REQUESTED DESCRIPTION OF CHANGE:

Peggy Esquibel-Reed/Qwest reviewed the CR description: AT&T does not want to recap any existing hunting USOCs the customer has on their account. Whatever the customer wants as their hunting arrangement, we would populate HA= (value determined by team)and provide the hunting USOCs and the lines hunting is to be applied to. IMA 13.0 will introduce new hunt values that are more specific than what was in IMA 12.0 .

AT&T would like to add another hunting value: perhaps that of A, for example. To illustrate, associated rules for A would look something like this:

A= to show end state of the account (this would be used for E (to convert hunting with no change) and C (to change hunt sequence) The E and the C values would not be replaced with the end state as the CLECs would be given a choice to use A versus E or C. Expected Deliverable: As stated and requested in the description of change, adoption of HA=A to the hunting rules.

CONFIRM INTERFACES:

Peggy Esquibel-Reed/Qwest stated that the interface noted is IMA EDI.

Donna Osborne-Miller/AT&T stated that it should be IMA Common, in the interest of the CLEC Community.

Peggy Esquibel-Reed/Qwest agreed to revise the interface to reflect IMA Common.

CONFIRM PRODUCTS:

Peggy Esquibel-Reed/Qwest stated that the noted product is UNE-P.

Donna Osborne-Miller/AT&T stated that in the interest of the CLECs, it is for all products.

Peggy Esquibel-Reed/Qwest agreed to revise the impacted products to All UNE-P.

Open System Change Requests -- Detail

DISCUSSION:

Regina Mosley/AT&T requested to change the CR Description to ask for a new value and to use an existing value of 'N' to be end-state, as well as for new.

Mallory Paxton/Qwest stated that she thought that would be better.

Curt Anderson/Qwest stated that he agreed with Mallory and that it is OBF compliant to use 'N' for end-state.

Mallory Paxton/Qwest stated that then there would be 2 options for migration or conversion, An 'N' would be for end-state. A blank would result in the removal of all hunting. Mallory noted that an 'N' would be used to keep, add, or change hunting; that it would be treated like a request for new service. Mallory stated that if the Hunting Form is not filled out, the CLEC would not get hunting.

Mallory Paxton/Qwest stated that in other words, a blank or no hunting form would result in no hunting. N would be the only valid value for HA.

Regina Mosley/AT&T asked if hunting exists on the Qwest CSR and is not specified on the LSR, how would the other USOCs get deleted, does not want to recap anything.

Mallory Paxton/Qwest stated that with conversion as specified, if the USOCs are left off, they don't get the feature.

Shon Higer/Qwest stated that if hunting is not specified, it would be removed and would not get converted.

Regina Mosley/AT&T stated that she does want hunting to work the same way, if it is not specified, they are not to get hunting.

Shon Higer/Qwest stated that he understands the request.

Donna Osborne-Miller/Qwest stated that she would like this to be a late adder.

Peggy Esquibel-Reed/Qwest advised AT&T that they will need to submit an exception request asking to invoke the late adder process and needs to submit an exception request asking that the vote be held outside of the CMP Meeting.

There were no other questions or comments.

August 21, 2003 Systems CMP Meeting Discussion (Attachment F - Walk-on Requests):

Donna Osborne-Miller/AT&T reviewed the CR description.

Donna Osborne-Miller/AT&T asked Regina Mosley (AT&T) if this request should also include blocking.

Regina Mosley/AT&T stated that she was still checking with Peter Cole (AT&T) and noted that 14.0 does include blocking for end state. Regina stated that this CR is for the 15.0 vote.

Kim Isaacs/Eschelon stated that end state for Listings is via recapping in LML.

Liz Balvin/MCI stated that was also in 14.0.

Donna Osborne-Miller/AT&T asked if this candidate made the cut-off date for 15.0.

Connie Winston/Qwest stated that it did not make the cut-off date to be included in the 15.0 vote.

There were no additional questions.

Qwest Response

Draft Response - September 9, 2003

RE: SCR081803-01 Seeking Ability To Migrate UNE-P Customer As End-State

Qwest has reviewed the information submitted as part of Change Request (SCR081803-01). Based upon the scope of this CR as agreed to in the Clarification Meeting (held August 22, 2003) Qwest is able to provide an estimated Level of Effort (LOE) of 1900 to 2400 hours for this IMA Change Request, and an impact to SATE of 40 to 60 hours.

At the next Monthly Systems CMP Meeting, CMP participants will be given the opportunity to comment on this Change Request and provide additional clarifications. Any clarifications and/or modifications identified at that time will be incorporated into Qwest's further evaluation of this Change Request.

This Change Request is currently an eligible candidate for the IMA 16.0 prioritization. At the request of AT&T, there will be a vote conducted at the September Systems CMP Meeting seeking to allow this candidate as a Late Adder into the IMA 15.0 Prioritization.

Sincerely,
Qwest